

Appl. No. 10/657,358
 Amdt. dated December 8, 2005
 Reply to Office Action of 09/09/2005

Docket No. 2543-28-99B

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 73-82 remain in this application.

Response to rejections of claims 73-82

In the above-identified Office Action, claims 73-82 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 73-82 of copending application No. 10/648,755, alone or in view of Kempner US 4,973,619. Claims 73-82 were also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over various claims in US Patents No. 6,743,840; 6,537,466; 6,528,566; 6,777,467; 6,790,892; 6,232,380; and 6,706,792.

Applicants submit that the "Terminal Disclaimer" submitted herewith overcomes both of these rejections.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing arguments, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reexamine the claimed subject matter, to withdraw the rejections of the claimed subject matter and to allow claims 73-82 at this time. If, however, there remain any open issues which the Examiner believes can be resolved by a telephone call, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact the undersigned agent.

Fee of \$130 is submitted herewith in connection with the terminal disclaimer. No additional fees are believed to be due in connection with the submission of this amendment; however, if any such fees, including petition or extension fees, are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them, as well as to credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 18-1850.

Respectfully Submitted,

Carl P. Hemenway
 Agent for Applicants
 Registration No. 51,798
 Tel: 215-619-5242
 Fax: 215-619-1612

Rohm and Haas Company
 Independence Mall West
 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399

Date: December 8, 2005